## Danner, Ward

From: Carmen Santos <Santos.Carmen@epamail.epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 27, 2013 1:17 PM

To: Santos, Carmen

Subject: Fw: Aspire Oakland - Cap

Carmen D. Santos

PCB Coordinator RCRA Corrective Action Office (WST-5) Waste Management Division USEPA Region 9 415.972.3360 santos.carmen@epa.gov

"Think left and think right and think low and think high. Oh, the thinks you can think up if only you try!" Dr. Seuss

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

[This e-mail message, including any attachments, may contain non-public, privileged and/or confidential information solely intended to be conveyed to the designated recipient(s). If you receive this e-mail message and are not an intended recipient, please delete this e-mail message and its attachments immediately. The unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this e-mail message and its attachments is strictly prohibited by law.]

Before printing this e-mail think if it is necessary. Think Green!

---- Forwarded by Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US on 03/27/2013 01:17 PM -----

From: Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US

To: "Goloubow, Ron",

Cc: Patrick Wilson/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/24/2011 03:08 PM Subject: Re: Aspire Oakland - Cap

## Hello Ron:

I do not have an answer for you right now. Lets talk on January 25, 2011 at 3:30 PM if you are available. If not available at that time, please propose a time for us to talk before 5:00 PM on that same day.

Aspire should propose how the cap will be constructed for the Aspire site in Oakland and not what has been done in the past at other school sites where a cap was not required. Please provide a drawing to scale depicting the components of the cap and how that cap will meet the requirements in the TSCA regulations. If you need to be creative then be so, and propose something I can seriously recommend for approval. The e-mail messages I have received seemed to focus on requests to USEPA to relax the regulatory requirements for the cap, rather than proposing how that cap will look like and how its components will meet the requirements established in the approval.

We will consider Aspire's proposal and whether that proposal can be approved under the risk-based cleanup option in the TSCA PCB regulations at 40 CFR 76.61(c).

In addition, any other changes to USEPA's November 13, 2009 approval of Aspire's PCB Notification that Aspire may propose and that deviate from the requirements in USEPA's approval must be submitted in writing and in a timely manner so we can respond in a timely manner.

Thanking you for your courtesies and looking forward to your reply.

Regards, Carmen

Carmen D. Santos PCB Coordinator

RCRA Corrective Action Office Waste Management Division USEPA Region 9

voice: 415.972.3360 facsimile: 415.947.3533

"Earth laughs in flowers." R. W. Emerson

"Goloubow, Ron" ---01/21/2011 04:06:27 PM---Carmen how would EPA interpret #5 highlighted below? Also is there any leeway in the permeability s

From: "Goloubow, Ron"

To: Carmen Santos/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 01/21/2011 04:06 PM

Subject: Aspire Oakland - Cap

Carmen how would EPA interpret #5 highlighted below? Also is there any leeway in the permeability specification provided in § 761.75 (below) of

less than 1×10-7 cm/sec given that there will be some asphalt or concrete over the cement treated soil?

Thanks Ron.

## 264.310 Closure and post-closure care.

- (a) At final closure of the landfill or upon closure of any cell, the owner or operator must cover the landfill or cell with a final cover designed and constructed to:
- (1) Provide long-term minimization of migration of liquids through the closed landfill;
- (2) Function with minimum maintenance;
- (3) Promote drainage and minimize erosion or abrasion of the cover;
- (4) Accommodate settling and subsidence so that the cover's integrity is maintained; and
- (5) Have a permeability less than or equal to the permeability of any bottom liner system or natural subsoils present.

## § 761.75 Chemical Waste Landfills

1) Soils. The landfill site shall be located in thick, relatively impermeable

formations such as large-area clay pans. Where this is not possible, the soil shall have a high clay and silt content with the following parameters:

(i) In-place soil thickness, 4 feet or

compacted soil liner thickness, 3 feet; I think this is for the bottom, of a land fill

(ii) Permeability (cm/sec), equal to or less than 1×10-7 any leeway here?

(iii) Percent soil passing No. 200 Sieve, >30;

(iv) Liquid Limit, >30; any leeway here?

(v) Plasticity Index >15

Ron Goloubow, PG | Principal Geologist | ron.goloubow@arcadis-us.com ARCADIS U.S., Inc. | 1900 Powell Street, Suite 1200 | Emeryville, CA 94608 T. 510.596.9550 | M. 510.501-1789 | F. 510.652.2246 www.arcadis-us.com

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it are the property of ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. All rights, including without limitation copyright, are reserved. The proprietary information contained in this e-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, is intended for the use of the recipient(s) named above. If the reader of this e-mail is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this e-mail in error and that any review, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the original message and any files transmitted. The unauthorized use of this e-mail or any files transmitted with it is prohibited and disclaimed by ARCADIS U.S., Inc. and its affiliates. Nothing herein is intended to constitute the offering or performance of services where otherwise restricted by law.